Measurement: the Elephant & the Blind Men (Misperceptions, Mistakes and Mitigation for Measurement Programs)
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The Measurement Problem

- Struggles for effective measurement programs
  - What should we measure?
  - Do we have the infrastructure and tools to collect data?
  - Do we have the skills to analyze the data?
  - Can we transform the data into meaningful information?
  - Are resources allocated to maintain the measurement process?
  - Stakeholders ask, What do I do with the reports?
  - Is the information used appropriately to better the organization?
  - How do I evolve the ongoing measurement program?

Many programs fail for lacking clarity related to one or more of the above.
Tale: Elephant & the Blind Men

- The first man touched the leg, and said it was a pillar
- The second man touched the tail, and said it was a rope
- The third man touched the trunk, and said it was a tree branch
- The fourth man touched the ear, and said it was a fan
- The fifth man touched the belly, and said it was a wall
- The sixth man touched the tusk, and said it was a pipe.

Moral:
- Everyone has a valid perspective
- Everyone wants input and needs an understanding of the bigger picture.
Measurement Success Factors

- Obtain Sponsorship
  - Recognize key stakeholders
- Align IT priorities, decision making and measures with Business Goals.
  - Where are we today?
  - Where do we want to go?
  - What steps need to be taken?
  - Are we moving in the right direction?
- Develop a Measurement Plan
  - Ensure information collected is objective and adds value
  - Obtain resources and build the measurement infrastructure
  - Establish an ongoing measurement function.
- Obtain Measurement Expertise

Adapted from V. Basili, “The Goal Question Metric Paradigm”
Why should you measure?

- **Improve Portfolio & Project Management**
  - Provides objective visibility into the pipeline of projects and their progress
  - Enables more repeatable, predictable project results by leveraging historical data
  - Improves management decision making using objective data

- **Improve Product Quality**
  - Provides objective understanding of product quality and tradeoffs
  - Enables early identification of defects to save time and money

- **Improve Productivity**
  - Provides visibility into the software development process
  - Enables efficient processes to produce more work with the same resources

- **Recognize Improvement Opportunities**
  - Improves visibility into process issues to prioritize process improvement initiatives
  - Validates process improvements results

- **Improve Customer Relationships**
  - Allows objective understanding of needs and expectations
  - Increases product quality and customer confidence.
Senior Management Perception

Concerns:
• Am I meeting my shareholders’ and customers’ expectations?
• Can I deliver projects on time, within budget, scope and promised quality?
• What issues are getting in the way of delivery?

Misperceptions & Mistakes:
- Selecting measures is easy, just collect what is available.
- Missing expected information on the reports.
- Reports should be easy to get with limited effort.
- Once reports are produced, the job is done.

Mitigation:
- Obtain commitment to be involved in selecting measures that align with business goals and identifying reporting needs.
- Facilitate report requirements using a proven approach: G/Q/M.
- Obtain commitment to resources and the plan to build the infrastructure.
- Be prepared to educate how to use the reports – cultural change, plan for adoption and maintenance.
Project Manager & Team Perception

Concerns:
• Getting projects delivered on time, within budget, scope and promised quality
• Being recognized for doing a good job
• How the data will be used?

Misperceptions & Mistakes:
 Why do I need to provide all these measures?
 This is more work and I don’t have time for it
 I didn’t plan to collect the data and I don’t have it.
 What am I getting out of the extra effort to provide the data?

Mitigation:
 Educate and communicate on:
   The alignment of measures to organizational goals
   Planning for data collection
   Integrating the data collection into daily processes
   Reviewing results for lessons learned, using the data to improve work products and to make your job easier.
Middle Management Perception

Concerns:
• Ensuring day to day operations are running smoothly and resolving issues
• What actions do I need to take from the reports?

Misperceptions & Mistakes:
• This is more work and I don’t have time for it
• What do I do with this report?
• Using data to penalize individual performance.

Mitigation:
• Educate and communicate on:
  • The alignment of measures to organizational goals
  • Role expectations for data collection, use of reports, and process improvements
  • Using data to evaluate process improvement, not individual performance.
Steps in the Measurement Life Cycle

1. **Measurement Planning**
   - Engage Senior Management in aligning metrics to informational needs
   - Plan the Measurement Project: define, develop, pilot and integrate the metrics
   - Establish a Measurement Framework that is visible to the organization

2. **Measurement Design & Development**
   - Identify measures currently in use
   - Recognize the gaps to be addressed
   - Establish Metric Profiles: purpose, goal, data collection sources, & report prototypes
   - Establish the tools to store & analyze the data
   - Establish communication & training

3. **Measurement Integration**
   - Communicate & train on measurement
   - Collect and analyze the data
   - Prepare the reports for various audiences including action steps
   - Present reports to various stakeholders and obtain feedback

4. **Repeat the Measurement Life Cycle**
   - Check the effectiveness of the measurement process
   - Validate the measures with any changes to the goals.
   - Enhance data collection and analysis through automation

© Copyright — Integral Process Solutions. All Rights Reserved.
## CMMI Maturity Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Performed</td>
<td>Process ad hoc, reactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Managed</td>
<td>Basic Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Defined</td>
<td>Organizational set of Standard processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Quantitatively Managed</td>
<td>Process measured and statistically controlled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Optimizing</td>
<td>Focus on continuous quantitative improvement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Processes:
- **Perform**: Process ad hoc, reactive
- **Managed**: Basic Project Management
- **Defined**: Organizational set of Standard processes
- **Quantitatively Managed**: Process measured and statistically controlled
- **Optimizing**: Focus on continuous quantitative improvement

### Key Processes:
- **Requirements Development**
- **Technical Solution**
- **Product Integration**
- **Verification**
- **Validation**
- **Org. Process Focus**
- **Org. Process Definition**
- **Org. Training**
- **Integrated Project Management**
- **Risk Management**
- **Decision Analysis and Resolution**
- **Requirements Management**
- **Project Planning**
- **Project Monitoring and Control**
- **Supplier Agreement Management**
- **Measurement and Analysis**
- **Process and Product Quality Assurance**
- **Configuration Management**
- **Organizational Innovation and Deployment**
- **Causal Analysis and Resolution**
Industry Model Guidance: Capability Maturity Model Integrated (CMMI)

Level 2 – Managed Process

- Measurement & Analysis (MA)
  - SG 1.1 Align Measurement and Analysis Activities
  - SG 1.2 Provide Measurement Results
- GP 2.8 Monitor & Control the Process
- Focus is on project level data gathering for planning and performing work
  - Processes are planned and managed against the plan
  - Corrective action is taken when there is significant deviation from the plan
    - Project level counts, %’s, averages, and or trends
    - Sample Measures: planned vs. actual size, effort, cost, duration, 
      # of requirements, # of changes, # of defects, etc.
Industry Model Guidance: Capability Maturity Model Integrated (CMMI)

Level 3 – Defined Process

- Project level data gathering and infrastructure is assumed
- GP 3.2 Collect Improvement Information
  - IPM SP 1.6 Contribute to the Organizational Process Assets (measures)
  - OPF SP 3.4 Incorporate Process-Related Experience into the Organizational Process Assets
  - OPD SP 1.4 Establish the Organization’s Measurement Repository
- Focus is on “Institutionalizing” standard measures across organization
  - Collect measures from planning and performing the process for future use and improvement recommendations for processes and assets
  - May require change to project measures to satisfy Organizational measures
  - Aggregate project and process measures for organizational analysis
    - Organizational level counts, %’s, averages, and or trends
    - Sample measures: Variance by project category in planned vs. actual, effort, cost, duration, Average # of requirements, # of changes, # of defects, etc.
Industry Model Guidance: Capability Maturity Model Integrated (CMMI)

Level 4 Quantitatively Managed Process

- Organizational Process Performance (OPP)
  - SG 1 Establish Performance Baselines and Models
- Quantitative Project Management (QPM)
  - SG 1 Quantitatively Manage the Project
  - SG 2 Statistically Manage Sub process Performance
- GP 4.1 Establish Quantitative Objectives for the Process
- GP 4.2 Stabilize Subprocess Performance

Focus is on quantitatively managing processes to meet the quality and process performance objectives:

- Select subprocesses that are significant contributors to the Quality and Process Performance Objectives
- Identify special causes of variation to statistically managed performance
- Provide insight to stakeholders for future decision making

  - Sample measures: Upper and lower control limits for significant processes such as project productivity, project quality, etc.
Industry Model Guidance: Capability Maturity Model Integrated (CMMI)

Level 5 Optimizing Process

- Organizational Innovation & Deployment (OID)
  - SG 1 Select Improvements
  - SG 2 Deploy Improvements
- Causal Analysis & Resolution (CAR)
  - SG 1 Determine Causes of Defects
  - SG 2 Address Causes of Defects
- GP 5.1 Ensure Continuous Process Improvement
- GP 5.2 Correct Root Causes of Problems
- Focus is on quantitative process improvement
  - Continual improvement by addressing common causes of process variation
  - Incremental and innovative technological improvements
  - Predict and assess the impact of change
    - Sample measures: Return on Investment, Measured change in project productivity, product quality, # of risks mitigated, etc.
Sample - Project Estimates

Level 2
- Generate basic project estimates such as size, effort, cost, and schedule
- Use historical data, simple estimating models and techniques (if available)
- Monitor “actuals-to-date” against the plan and initiate corrective action as needed

Level 3
- Use standard estimating methods and tools maintained by the organization
- Collect project data in a central repository for use in estimating future projects
- Analyze estimation trends and report results to stakeholders
- Incorporate lessons learned and other improvements to estimation methods and tools

Level 4
- Use process performance models to predict interim results and project outcomes
- Apply statistical methods to manage critical subprocesses
- Identify, address, and eliminate special causes of variation
- Apply quantitative techniques to manage the overall project

Level 5
- Factor future process and technology improvements into project estimates
- Improve process capability by identifying and addressing common causes of variation
- Calibrate process performance models with data that reflects improved performance.
Sample - Defects

Level 2
- Identify, collect and review defects during testing

Level 3
- Conduct Peer reviews to enable phase containment of defects
- Perform Verification and Validation activities throughout the project life cycle
- Store defect data in a central repository
- Conduct Trend analysis on defect data and share the results with stakeholders
- Identify and implement improvements based upon defect analysis results

Level 4
- Use Peer review and test-detected defects as a basis for statistical control
- Use Process performance models to predict customer satisfaction based on defect trends
- Identify, analyze and eliminate special causes of defect variation

Level 5
- Determine and eliminate the root causes of defects
- Introduce process and technology changes to lower the number of defects injected and to further improve the defect detection rate.
Sample View - Project

Project Life Cycle Effort

- Effort:
  - Planning: 10,000
  - Reqs: 25,000
  - Design: 13,500
  - Final: 12,500

Project Life Cycle Size

- Size:
  - Planning: 500
  - Reqs: 750
  - Design: 650
  - Final: 700

Project Life Cycle Schedule

- Schedule:
  - Planning: 6.25
  - Reqs: 15.63
  - Design: 8.44
  - Final: 7.81

Project Life Cycle Cost

- Cost:
  - Planning: 600
  - Reqs: 1,700
  - Design: 1,010
  - Final: 950
Sample View – Project continued

Goal:
- Use “all” pieces of information to understand the various aspects of the project: scope, effort, cost & quality
- Collect and analyze project data to manage trade-offs.
- Provide project data to be leveraged on future projects.

Some Questions to Consider:
- Are the right # of resources allocated?
- Can the promised functionality be delivered?
- Will the project meet the expected target date?
- Will the budget cover the project costs?
- What is the quality of the product and what risks are foreseen?
- What trade offs need to be made?
- At the end:
  - What did you learn?
  - What would you have done differently?

![Project Life Cycle Quality](chart)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Defects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rect's</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Some Questions to Consider:

- Does the Portfolio allocation align with the goals?
- Are the staff focused in the right projects?
- Are we as productive as we could be?
- Are projects delivering on time, within budget, with promised functionality and desired quality?
- What trade offs need to be made?
- At the end:
  - What did you learn?
  - What changes need to occur?

Goal:

- Use “all” pieces of information to understand the organizational picture of project and process health
- Collect and leverage project and process trends to improve predictions and better manage commitments.
Summary

- Obtain sponsorship and identify stakeholders
  - Recognize perspectives and mitigate concerns
- Align metrics to informational needs and goals
- Develop and execute the measurement plan
  - Define, integrate and report information to stakeholders
  - Keep stakeholder needs in mind
  - Understand the industry best practices
- Obtain Measurement expertise to guide you to success
- Periodically, review the effectiveness of measures and alignment to business needs.

Moral:
- Truth lies in an individual perspective
- Greater truth lies in a collective perspective.
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